

**“A Tale from Climate Ground Zero...”**

**Our Expectation from CoP-21 Paris Climate Conference**

National Press Club 07 Nov' 2015

## **EquityBD Campaign, following “Track- II” Diplomacy**

### **i. Campaign internationally since 2007 on climate Induced migrants through;**

- Seminar in CoP premises**
- Exhibition “Climate in Ground Zero” since CoP Bali**
- Presenting Ground Reality to West as It is the Problem of Tomorrow for them.**

### **ii. Campaign also on Aid, Trade and WTO issues**

## Our latest achievement

- **Nansen Initiatives have include the climate induced migrants issue for global and inter-state advocacy.**
- **Latest TRIPS waiver for LDCs as a milestone of CSO mobilization, parallel with govt. process.**

**Book launching is an initiative of expediting the issue in CoP-21 & onward**



## What we expect in Paris Deal

### a. A Legally Binding Agreement that follows

- Equity principle with a notion of CBDR for developed and poor country context

### b. International Court for Climate Change Compliance and Justice/ International Legal Institution for;

- Forcing the law
- Implementation of compliance & monitoring
- Dispute Resolution

## **Situation somewhat frustrating**

### **Draft Text: Favoring the big emitters**

- **Try to insert or diluting the text as favoring point of view of Annex-1**
- **Try to use new term and concept like “developed & developing country party”, “countries in need of support” etc.**
- **Scientific gambling on defining the “emission reduction”**

## **Situation somewhat frustrating**

### **Big divides remain on the key issues**

- **There is no differentiation between developed and developing countries in the proposed operational provisions.**
- **They are all treated in a like manner, wiping out the notions of historical responsibility and equity.**
- **In mitigation, there is a downgrading of developed countries obligations and an upgrading of developing country obligations.**
- **The focus is overwhelmingly on mitigation, with no obligation for developed countries to provide the needed funds.**

**CoP-21 process:**

**Common issue: A Legally Binding Agreement (LBA) beyond 2020**

**2. Developed countries issues**

- a. Mitigation commitment**
- b. Financing strategies (Mitigation priority)**
- c. Discourse on mitigation devices**

**3. LDC issues;**

- a. Commitment on Adaptation financing**
- b. Loss & Damage**
- c. Technology transfer**

## **LBA in view of mitigation**

### **Our stand points in the negotiation**

- **Clear statement regarding the time line of mitigation, peaking period to limit the temperature rise below 1.5oC by 2100.**
- **LBA must be focused on adaptation parallel with mitigation**
- **No false solution proposed through LBA.**
- **LDCs will be voluntary contributors to INDC.**



## Would temperature be possible to control?

- Today, the world is 0.85°C warmer than pre-industrial levels
- Below 1.50 C (50% chance)
- Below 2.00 C (66% chance) AR-5 analysis by the scientist

**Need realistic compliance target on emission reduction and to be followed as most stringent for Annex 1 countries.**

## Current global pledge of INDC insufficient toward 1.5°C pathway

- The commitments captured in INDCs will not keep temperatures below 2°C, much less 1.5°C, above pre-industrial levels.
- Even if all countries meet their INDC commitments, the world is likely to warm by a devastating 3°C or more. (CSO Review on INDC)

**Big gap in the notion of “Equity & Fair Share” responsibility in mitigation**

- **Russia: INDC represents zero contribution towards its fair share**
- **Japan: INDC represents about one tenth of its fair share**
- **United States: INDC represents about a fifth of its fair share**
- **European Union: INDC represents just over a fifth of its fair share**

**China, Indonesia, India and Brazil’s INDC represents slightly more than two thirds of its fair share.**

**(CSO Review on INDC)**

## **LBA in view of Adaptation**

### **Our stand points in the negotiation**

- **Clear commitment and work plan by developed country parties to support on;**
  - i. **Adaptation need & assessment in MVCs**
  - ii. **Supporting mechanism (finance, technology and IB etc.)**

## **LBA in view of Loss & Damage**

### **Our stand points in the negotiation**

- **Separate text and work stream for International mechanism**
- **Compensation by developed countries and separate window for financing**
- **No insurance mechanism (for business only???), rather a collective share of UN and Annex-1 guarantees**

## LBA in view of Financing

### Our stand points in the negotiation

- **Must be coherent with SDG goals, like climate change; restore ecosystem and environmental sustainability requirement.**
- **Firm commitment from developed countries for pre 2020 (US\$100 bln/yr) financial pledge**
- **Current pledges (around US\$33 billion up to 2020) are very insufficient** <http://newsroom.unfccc.int>

## **LBA in view of Financing**

### **Allocation Approach & Strategies**

- **Compensation and Reparation focus**
- **Adequate, additional and Public Finance for LDCs.**
- **Private finance for rich countries**
- **At least 50:50 share in adaptation & mitigation**
- **Appropriate Finance to appropriate Technology**

## **LBA in view of Technology shifting:**

### **Our stand points in the negotiation**

- **No IPR regime or conditionality for MVCs in case of their adaptation and mitigation effort**
- **All sort technology (for both adaptation and mitigation) have to be provided following country specific and need base.**
- **LBA must be provisioned for representation of LDCs to ensured in Technology, assessment, transfer and support mechanism**



**We hopeful  
for life &  
prospect**



[www.equitybd.org](http://www.equitybd.org)

**Thank You to all**