2019

2020

2021

VISUALISATION OF THE STATUS OF THE GRAND BARGAIN, JUNE 2020 **Drafted by the Grand Bargain Secretariat**

WHAT WE AGREED IN 2016:

HOW DO WE MOVE FORWARD ON THE PRIORITIES?

(BASED ON THE ANNUAL INDEPENDENT REPORT 2020)

PROGRESS

OBJECTIVES FORWARD (BASED ON THE ANNUAL MEETING 2020) (OBJECTIVES FROM EP SPEECH AT THE ANNUAL MEETING 2019) **INDICATORS** (DEVELOPED BY CO-CONVENORS IN 2018)

% of agreements that

incorporate capacity

strengthening support,

and

% of funding for local and

national responders

Actions taken that

support within

organisations

RESULTS (BASED ON CO-CONVENOR MEETING 2020 AND AIR 2020)

1) In new agreements between

donors and intermediaries the role

of the latter is mutually understood

to be one of supporting, nurturing

and overseeing local partners

2) Funding for institutional capacity

strengthening activities increased.

EXPECTED

TARGETS (AGREED BY CO-CONVENORS AND FG IN 2018)

WS2 More support and

TRANSFORMATIONAL

INVESTMENTS FOR EFFICINECY

Focus on quality in partnerships and support, through capacity development, engagement of women and youth funding tools for organizations, and help managing the risks adequately, local and national including by addressing compliance obstacles, such as responders counterterrorism legislation, and building trust.

Roll out joint needs

analysis and supporting

tools collectively,

ensuring adequate

capacities are in place

to do so.

(BASED ON THE ANNUAL MEETING 2019)

A growing normative shift towards more localised responses with emerging positive practice that could be scaled up.

Increase investments in capacities of local actors, based on their priorities. And eek ways to pass on overhea costs. Énsure funding for local actors, including through pooled funds.

MOVING

responders to disasters and emergencies are credibly strengthened.

2. Local and national

3. Those affected by a crisis are enabled to make their Total volume transferred own choices to meet their through cash and humanitarian needs through vouchers

Progress on the cash coordination debate with high-level backing

directly as possible to local and national responders

N/A

25% of humanitarian

funding awarded as

Increase the use and coordination CHANGES of cash-based programming

Improve joint

and impartial

Focus on coordination, including more inclusive participation, and continue working towards the improvement of cost management and enhancing the quality of cash funding.

2,7 bn \$ World Bank, 2,1 bn \$ from WFP, 650 M \$ UNCHR, 241 M \$ UNICEF

Finalised quality criteria and

methodoloay

75 % of HNOs meet at least

75 % of the quality criteria

Agree on a commitment to mprove cash coordination. sed on inter-sector respons nalysis, facilitating a scale-up in the use of cash assistance and more efficient, effective and accountability aid.

efforts to further develop and apply the JIAF in field

including protection

donors, ensure funding

decisions are aligned with

needs assessments and

analysis results.

cations in the current HPC,

5. The short- and longterm needs of affected people are better reflected in our responses through better assessments of their needs.

7. & 8. More flexible and

predictable financing

1. Greater transparency

ensured, and a shared data

standard for evidence-based

decision-making used.

more quality cash-based

programming.

contribute to better JIAF further joint needs analysis Level of prioritisation to invest in canacities to

developed and applied in field locations through Agreed-upon quality criteria and methodology

80% of HNOs meet at least 75% of the quality criteria 80% of MSNAs meet at least 75% of the quality criteria

needs assessments

WS5

WS6

Participation revolution

Incentivize participation of local actors in program planning and implementation, for example through compliance if deemed appropriate with Core Humanitarian Standard on Quality and Accountability (CHS).

88 % of HRPs include joint approach to accountability to affected population (+29 % compared to 2018).

Strengthen engagement between the participation and localisation workstreams, ensuring political support for greater accountability to affected populations.

6. Affected people are more engaged with and listened to, and are better included in our responses.

% of HRPs where operational decisionmaking is informed by views of affected people % of HRPs that integrate strategies/plans on gender etc.

% of humanitarian funds

available that are multi-vear

% **change** of humanitarian funds available that are multi-

year

% of unearmarked/ softly earmarked funding

going to implementing partners

% of Signatories

publishing humanitarian

data to IATI, and %

publishing more useable

data; and % of Signatories

using IATI data

% variance on number of

individual donor

assessments compared to

2016

% of UN agencies and

NGOs adopting the UN

95% of HRPs include a joint approach to accountabilty to affected populations

1) Increase flexibility across funding

instruments and agreements
2) Provide a critical mass of quality

predictable funding

3)Scale-up quality funding tools

and approaches

4) Address donor accountability

and visibility concerns

5) Identify and address barriers to

1) Increased number of

signatories publish more

useable data to IATI

IATI data being increasingly

used by digital platforms and

service providers in the

humanitarian sector

1) Increase in the number of UN

cascading quality funding

indicator that reflects more detailed HRP content requirements

Introduce revised six-part

Ensure 100% of HRPS score 4 or more out of 6 by 2022

WS7&8

Enhance quality funding

Reinforce high-level Develop and political dialogue among refine the donors and other evidence base Signatories, to address for both multithe outstanding barriers year funding and obstacles to and reduced progress in enhancing earmarking quality funding

Align or better connect data platforms,

ensure complementarity, simplify data

data needs.

individual donor assessments on the

scale of the problem, the impact on

Come to agreement on common definitions

A coalition (with

technical inputs)

7 donors increased multiyear funding compared to

92% of signatory publishers are

publishing open data on their

humanitarian activities, 25%

of signatories are publishing

more useable humanitarian

data, 39% of signatories

e publishing more timely data

Convene a series of dialogues at technical and political level. to (1) clarify donors' accountability and visibility equirements; (2) identify and agree on how to apply an expanded concept of QF; (3) cale-up good practices; and (4) address barriers to cascading QF.

Increase granularity of data published in IATI. Make IATI more inclusive. Encourage

partners to publish downstream partner data through IATI. Create a "one stop shop" for funding data.

the GPPI study on individual donor assessments and identify next steps to ensure they are translated into fewer donor and UN assessments at country level. Seek greater alignment of different initiatives in reducing management costs.

Donors and UN agencies should

adopt the 8+3 template for their

innovation and alignment of our

4. Costs saved through

Partner Portal

agencies using the portal and the number of I/NNGOs registered 2) Application of GPPi recommendations from both aid organizations and donor governments.

3) Change in assessment practice based on the above.

100% of Signatories are publishing humanitarian data to IATI, and of these 50% are publishing more useable humanitarian data

6 UN agencies adopt the UN

Partner Portal with consequent increase of CSO/NGO partners

of UN agencies adopting the common UN Partner Portal

process

The actual reduction in the

number of assessments

N/A

Reduce duplication and management costs

operations (including partnering) and develop recommendations.

> 6 Signatories using the 8+3 template completely, 8 partially

9. Humanitarian workers have more time to help those affected by only having to use a simplified reporting format.

Use of common reporting template as the reporting standard for agreements with partners

8+3 template rolled out globally the use of all downstream NGO

80% of signatories / 50% of INGO Signatories who have a grant-giving role use the common reporting template as the reporting standard for agreement with partners

and simplify reporting requirements

> **FUTURE OF THE GRAND BARGAIN**

the Facilitation Group will elop a proposal on the future of the Grand Bargain by December 2020.

RISK SHARING

Engage relevant workstreams to address key ements of the risk initiative including workstream 4 on certification process and workstream 2 on involvement of local actors.

Initiate a discussion with the Good Humanitarian

Liaise with the IASC Results Group 5 to risk initiatives and discuss harmonizing due diligence

Convene an expert-level meeting on risk sharing in second half of 2020 with the aim to facilitate a dialogue among key stakeholders, ensuring that the initiativé is focused on practical issues, grounded in concrete operational contexts and enables participation of local actors.

"risk sharing agenda" to the Eminent Person by December 2020.

WS1 Greater transparency

WS4

Harmonize WS9

8+3 reporting template, supported by political leadership for Signatories to agree to use it.

Scale-up the adoption of the

to determine how and reporting requirements, focus on FTS and IATI data for a purpose, and on user-driven could interface Conduct a survey and analysis of

4 UN agencies adopted the UN Partner Portal (+1 compared to last year) and some 12.000 partners are registered on the UN Partner Portal (87 % UNHCR, 50 % UNICEF) as of mid-2020.

implementing NGO partners. Donors that have already adopted it should proactively lobby and challenge peers to rapidly adopt the template.

by donors and UN agencies for partners

2020 OVERARCHING THEMES

Donor (GHD) group members on "zero tolerance for nonidentify synergies on